

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/615,060	07/08/2003		Paul Otto Kjeldsen	0127.00001	8061	
10534	7590	08/16/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BLISS MC	•		PETERSON, I	PETERSON, KENNETH E		
SUITE 600	2075 WEST BIG BEAVER ROAD SUITE 600			ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER	
TROY, MI	48084			3724		

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/615,060	KJELDSEN ET AL.	\mathcal{O}_{\prime}			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kenneth E Peterson	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS , cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communic ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the meri	ts is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and/or of	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·	•	• •			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Of	tice Action or form PTO-15	2.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	· ·					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	eived in this National Stage)			
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		nivod .				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not rect	eiveu.				
Attachment(s)						
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumn	nary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/615,060 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claim 3, drawn to a tape dispenser having an integral spool.
- II. Claims 6 and 7, drawn to a tape dispenser having a plurality of guides.
- III. Claim 8,9,18,20 and 21, drawn to a tape dispenser having hinged walls.
- IV. Claims 10,11 and 22, drawn to a tape dispenser having a wall closing tabs.
- V. Claims 12-14,23 and 24, drawn to a tape dispenser having a belt loop.
- VI. Claims 15,16 and 25, drawn to a tape dispenser having tape holding tabs.
- VII. Claim 17,26 and 27, drawn to a tape dispenser having a corner cutting edge.
- IX. Claims 28 and 29, drawn to a tape dispenser having visual inspection slots.
- 2. Claims 1,2,4,5 and 19 will be examined with the elected invention. Claim 1 links the inventions of groups I-IX. The restriction requirement of the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim, claim 1. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be

Application/Control Number: 10/615,060 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

- 3. Inventions of groups I-IX are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. For example, the tape dispenser having an integral spool of group I could be used without the guides of group II, and conversely, the tape dispenser having a plurality of guides of group II could be used with a loose spool, as opposed to the integral spool of group I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 4. There is an excessive burden on the office to examine all of these inventions together, as shown by their search. See MPEP 808.02(C). For example, the search for group I would require looking in the winding and reeling arts, class 242. The search for group II would not be searched as above, but would need to be searched in class 226 for guiding webs. The search for group III would not need to be searched as above, but would need to be searched in class 206 for openable receptacle. The remaining groups also have their own unique class searches and different text searches.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different search and because of

Application/Control Number: 10/615,060

Art Unit: 3724

their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A – figures 1-4

Species B – figures 5-8

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, most of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Application/Control Number: 10/615,060

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3724

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ken Peterson whose telephone number is 703-308-2186. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday between 7am and 4pm.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9306. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

kp

August 10, 2004

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5